

[See all News](#)

## COMMENT

## Building the Stonehenge tunnel would be the gravest act of desecration perpetrated by a Tory government in memory

TOM HOLLAND

13 November 2020 • 5:16pm

[Twitter](#) [Facebook](#) [WhatsApp](#) [Email](#) | [Comments](#) 39

The Conservative Party, over the course of its lengthy history, has been defined by two particular traditions. One emphasises the duty of care to the past. It nurtures a suspicion of grandiose and ill-founded schemes. It never forgets that the responsibility of a conservative is ultimately to conserve. Then, parallel to this, there is a second tradition. This emphasises the importance of sound finance. It scorns to believe in magic money trees. It does not spray tax-payers' cash around like there is no tomorrow. It pays scrupulous attention to the bottom line.

This week, a supposedly Conservative government made a mockery of both these traditions. Upwards of £2 billion, it announced on Thursday, [will be blown on a monstrous white elephant of a road development](#) that will permanently disfigure Britain's most significant and sacred prehistoric landscape. The decision of Grant Shapps to green-light the building of a tunnel through a stretch of the World Heritage Site that surrounds Stonehenge is as inexplicable as it is disgraceful.

Certainly, no one can be in any doubt that the scheme will inflict "permanent, irreversible harm" on a landscape that is the supreme icon of British archaeology. We know this because the Planning Inspectorate, commissioned by the Government itself to deliver a 560-page report on the Stonehenge tunnel, said so.

The inspectors did not mince their words. Proceed with the development, they declared, and it will "introduce a greater physical change to the Stonehenge landscape than has occurred in its 6,000 years as a place of widely acknowledged human significance." To ignore this warning is to threaten the gravest act of desecration knowingly perpetrated by any recent British government.

But what about the economy of the South-West? What about the need to keep Britain moving? What are aurochs bones or long barrows when weighed against the interests of the haulage industry?

Reasonable questions – except that the Stonehenge tunnel makes no sense as a transport investment either. It is not only environmental agencies who have pointed this out. So too have the National Audit Office and the Tax Payers Alliance, nobody’s idea of tree-huggers. Stretches of the A303 west of Stonehenge will remain single lane. There will still be every chance of getting stuck behind a tractor.

According to Highways England’s own figures, the Stonehenge Tunnel development will save a mere 4.8 seconds per mile on an average 100 mile journey. Perhaps, were the times more prosperous, the prospect of spending billions on a development that offers such terrible value for money would seem less grotesque. As it is, with the country’s finances shot to pieces by Covid-19, it beggars belief.

All of us are left to pray that the Government will come to its senses before it is too late.

---

Related Topics

Stonehenge

    |  39

---

The Telegraph values your comments but kindly requests all posts are on topic, constructive and respectful. Please review our [commenting policy](#).

Show comments 

---

### More stories



**Dominic Cummings exits Number 10 with parting shot at Boris Johnson**



**Hurricane fighter plane which cost £2m to restore 'wrecked' after 20 minute flight**




**'During my last lunch with Diana, she said she would go back to Charles in a heartbeat'**



**With Dominic Cummings gone, Boris himself is dangerously exposed**



**Ireland too good for struggling Wales as Wayne Pivac's side lose sixth straight Test**



**Nicola Adams' ruthless Covid axing is the beginning of the end for Strictly 2020**

---

---

## More from News

---

**◆ Live** | Coronavirus latest news: Vaccine promise is making people feel complacent, Sage scientist warns

By Jordan Kelly-Linden

14 Nov 2020, 9:37am



---

What happens if Donald Trump refuses to concede 2020 presidency after losing the US election?

By Ben Riley-Smith

14 Nov 2020, 9:36am



---

The power games that shattered No10's toxic triumvirate

By Gordon Rayner

▶ 14 Nov 2020, 9:29am



---

Joe Biden's Inauguration Day 2021: when is it, and what will it look like during coronavirus?

By Verity Bowman

14 Nov 2020, 9:15am

---

Joe Biden 2020: What a Democratic Presidential race win means for America

By Ben Riley-Smith

14 Nov 2020, 9:06am



---

What's happening with lawsuits, postal votes and recounts in the US

---

election?

By Telegraph Reporters  
14 Nov 2020, 9:01am



## More from The Telegraph




**Dominic Cummings to leave Downing St with immediate effect**



**Sajid Javid tipped to be Boris Johnson's next chief of staff**



**Masters 2020 leaderboard and latest scores from Augusta**



**US election results and maps 2020: Joe Biden wins US election with all states called**



**I've read the National Trust report and it's a one-sided take on history, full of woke prejudices**



## Autumn Nations Cup 2020 fixtures: Match dates, times and TV schedule

Follow us on:



Contact us

About us

Rewards

Archive

Reader Prints

Branded Content

Syndication and Commissioning

Guidelines

Privacy

Terms and Conditions

Advertising Terms

Fantasy Sport

Black Friday

UK Voucher Codes

Betting Offers

Modern Slavery

Manage Cookies

© Telegraph Media Group Limited 2020